WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.		V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
	J	uan Mercado-Malvis	Case Number:	09-6165M	
and was	s repres	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 ented by counsel. I conclude by a prepart pending trial in this case.	142(f), a detention hearing wonderance of the evidence t	ras held on May 11, 2009. Defendant was presenne defendant is a flight risk and order the detention	
			FINDINGS OF FACT		
I find by		onderance of the evidence that:			
		The defendant is not a citizen of the	•	·	
		The defendant, at the time of the cha	_		
		If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
		The defendant has no significant co	ntacts in the United States o	r in the District of Arizona.	
		The defendant has no resources in to assure his/her future appearance.	ne United States from which	he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated	
	\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal h	istory.		
		The defendant lives/works in Mexico).		
		The defendant is an amnesty appli substantial family ties to Mexico.	cant but has no substantial	ties in Arizona or in the United States and has	
		There is a record of prior failure to a	ppear in court as ordered.		
		The defendant attempted to evade la	aw enforcement contact by t	leeing from law enforcement.	
		The defendant is facing a maximum	of	vears imprisonment.	
at the ti	The Come of the	ne hearing in this matter, except as no	erial findings of the Pretrial Soted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
	1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defer No condition or combination of cond	ndant will flee.	the appearance of the defendant as required.	
a correct appeal. of the U	ctions fa The de Inited St ant to th	fendant is committed to the custody o cility separate, to the extent practicable fendant shall be afforded a reasonable tates or on request of an attorney for the e United States Marshal for the purpo APPEAL	f the Attorney General or his e, from persons awaiting or s e opportunity for private cons ne Government, the person i ose of an appearance in con S AND THIRD PARTY REL	/her designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding. EASE	
deliver a	a copy o	of the motion for review/reconsideration	n to Pretrial Services at least	h the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric	
Service investig	s suffici	JRTHER ORDERED that if a release ently in advance of the hearing befor potential third party custodian.	to a third party is to be conside the District Court to allow	dered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
	DATE	ED this 12 th day of May, 2009			
			2		
			The state of the s		
		U	David K. Duncan Inited States Magistrate.	fudge	